

APPLICATION NO. 10/631,860

22850

United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

08/01/2003

7590

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

1940 DUKE STREET

12/09/2005

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 240337US-2 DIV 9154 **EXAMINER** OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. MILLER, BRIAN E

> ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2652

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Shinji Ichikawa

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/631,860	ICHIKAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Brian E. Miller	2652		

	Brian E. Miller	2652		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 23 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL				
	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).				
AMENDMENTS				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		I E below);		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below and to place the application in be	•	ducina or simplifyina	the issues for	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 103 rejections of claims 6 & 11.				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 6 and 11 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).				
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:	
12. [] Note the attached information Disclosure Statement(s). (DTO/SD/09 or DTO 1440) Baner No/s)				
 I2. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). I3. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 				
	//	1/20h	W-	
	V.	Brian E. Miller Primary Examiner		

Art Unit: 2652

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's response did not address the withdrawn claims, i.e., 1-3, 7-8, 10, 12, withdrawn with traverse in response to a restriction requirement (see the 5/23/05 response).